



To

ate: 02-09-2011

Public Information Officer
 Railway Board (R-T-I. Cell)
 Rail Bhawan
 New Delhi - 110001

RTI CELL
 (1) Received on 18853 1/9
 (2) Date of Receipt
 (3) Postal Order
 108.
 Marked to 1.....
 2.....
 3.....

Sub: Information under RTI Act, 2005.

1. Full name of applicant: YAMINI SHRIVASTAVA
2. Address: Smt. Yamini Shrivastava
 D-34, Barkly place, Byculla (west)
 Sir J. J. Road, Mumbai - 400008
 09892582582 (Cell Number)
3. Contact No. (if any)

ETA Mail
 JDE (Rep)
 DE DBA

4. Particulars of Information required

Subject matter of information

ii. The period to which information relates

iii. Description of information required

iv. Whether information is required in person or by post.

- 1) प्रतिवेदन दिनांक 25-8-2011 को सचिव, रेलवे बोर्ड नई दिल्ली के समक्ष प्रस्तुत किए जाने की तिथि की जानकारी
- 2) प्रतिवेदन दिनांक 25-8-2011 पर, सचिव, रेलवे बोर्ड नई दिल्ली के स्तर पर किए गए निर्णय की विलंबित जानकारी।

(प्रतिवेदन दिनांक 25-8-2011 की एक प्रति संलग्न है)

भारतीय डाक विभाग द्वारा (By Post)

5 (a) Particulars of fees paid (Rly. Money Receipt, Demand draft Indian Postal Order or Bankers' cheque)

Rs, 10/- (पावती क्रमांक 25457060 संलग्न है।)

OR

(b) Whether the applicant is a law practitioner? (if yes, attested copy of proof thereof)


:3:


has now, no basis legality at present at this stage as the same benefit has been meted-out with the similarly placed persons working presently in BPL, Kota Division of W.C. Railway. (a copy of O.O. 910/2010 dt. 27.09.2010 and OO dated 26.03.2010 issued by DRM (P), BPL & Kota respectively are enclosed and marked as Annexure-A5 & A6).


It is observed that the attitude of CPO (Engg./Const.) C.Rly, Mumbai CST is totally partial and discriminatory with the applicants (Respondents of C.W.P. 2041/2000) only because of that we the applicants have been continuing struggling for our legal rights since more than 15 years during legal proceedings before Hon'ble CAT (PB) NDLS, Hon'ble High Court Delhi as well as Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.


In view of the facts and circumstances as explained above you are therefore very kindly requested to look into the matter personally and review the decision taken by CPO (Engg./Const.), C. Rly, Mumbai CST vide his letter dated 10.08.2011 as referred above and issue necessary orders for proper implementation of Railway Boards policy vide his letter no. as referred above dated 10.06.2009, 04.12.2009 and 25.02.2010 in favour of applicants in the same manner as has been meted out with the similarly placed persons of W.C. Railway (Bhopal & Kota Division) in the interest of justice and also to avoid further litigation in future. An early action in this regard shall be highly appreciated.

With kind regards.

1. 
Manoj Kumar Srivastava
w/u Dy.CE (C), DR

2. 
G.S. Kushwah
w/u Dy.CE (C), PA

3. 
Dinesh Kumar
w/u Dy.CE (C), Solapur

4. 
Alok Kumar Rathi
w/u Dy.CE (C), GWL

Cont...4